

Brussels, 6.4.2022 C(2022) 1931 final

ANNEX 4

## ANNEX

to the

Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) .../...

supplementing Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying the details of the content and presentation of the information in relation to the principle of 'do no significant harm', specifying the content, methodologies and presentation of information in relation to sustainability indicators and adverse sustainability impacts, and the content and presentation of the information in relation to the promotion of environmental or social characteristics and sustainable investment objectives in precontractual documents, on websites and in periodic reports

EN EN


## **ANNEX IV**

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

**Product name:** No Such Friends of blackbear Coöperatief U.A.

Legal entity identifier: n/a

# **Environmental and/or social characteristics**



# **Section 1**



# 1.1 To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

This financial product (No Such Friends of blackbear) promotes E&S characteristics through supporting the UN Sustainable Development Goals but does not have as its objective sustainable investment. The financial product seeks to invest in blackbear, with the potential for growth and a positive impact on Sustainable Development Goals.

## 1.2 How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The KPI used to measure the sustainability indicators was the number of rated assignments completed by blackbear. According to blackbear, they completed 356 rated assignments. blackbear performed "okay" in terms of the number of rated assignments on the platform. As they continue to refine their approach to the market, their main focus is not on increasing the number of assignments. Instead, one of the underlying metrics they prioritize is the value of

each assignment, which has shown improvement as they successfully create more value per assignment.

1.3 ... and compared to previous periods?

While this shows a decrease from 2023, this can be attributed to a strategic shift toward higher-value projects over volume. This approach often involves longer employee engagement with the company, allowing blackbear to deepen its impact on both the client and the professional. This shift not only supports lifelong learning and career development but also contributes to sustainable economic growth by enabling businesses to access diverse talent, drive innovation, and increase productivity through more impactful projects.

- 1.4 What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives? Not applicable.
- 1.5 How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective? Not applicable.
  - 1.5.1 How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

#### Not applicable.

[Include a statement for the financial products referred to in Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852]

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

1.5.2 Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Not applicable.



## Section 2

2.1 How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

This financial product considered principal adverse impacts (PAIs) on sustainability factors as part of a thorough due diligence process. blackbear received a "Pass" score across all



assessed indicators, reflecting compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines.

Key highlights include blackbear's adherence to human rights principles, ensuring freedom of association, eliminating forced and child labour, and maintaining anti-discrimination practices. Environmentally, blackbear supports local service networks to reduce emissions and promotes environmentally friendly technologies. Additionally, blackbear avoids exposure to controversial weapons, fossil fuel activities, and biodiversity-sensitive areas. Anti-corruption measures were upheld through ethical training embedded in the onboarding process. These considerations align with blackbear's commitment to sustainable and responsible business practices.

## Section 3

## 3.1 What were the top investments of this financial product?

Largest investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
BLACKBEAR B.V.	Services - Professional and Commercial Services	100%	The Netherlands




## Section 4

4.1 What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Not applicable.

4.2 What was the asset allocation?

[Include only relevant boxes, remove irrelevant ones for the financial product]




4.3 In which economic sectors were the investments made?

All of No Such Friends of blackbear's investments are in blackbear, which covers the Services industry, including the Professional and Commercial Services industry.

#### Section 5

5.1 To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?



Not applicable.

- 5.2 What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities? Not applicable.
- 5.3 How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable.

5.4 What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable.

5.5 What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

Not applicable.

5.6 What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

Not applicable.

# Section 6

6.1 What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?



During the reference period, No Such Ventures implemented several actions to meet the environmental and social characteristics of the financial product through active ownership, monitoring, and reporting. The fund actively engaged with portfolio companies, including Goboony, to advocate for enhanced sustainability practices. This included discussions with company management and participation in shareholder resolutions related to sustainability.

Sustainability risks were monitored and reassessed throughout the investment lifecycle, ensuring alignment with the latest research, policy developments, and company disclosures. Additionally, No Such Ventures produced annual sustainability risk reports to maintain transparency and accountability, providing stakeholders with updates on how identified sustainability risks were being managed effectively. These actions reflect a proactive approach to supporting the sustainability objectives of the financial product.

## Section 7

- 7.1 How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?
  - 7.1.1 How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable.

7.1.2 How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable.

7.1.3 How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable.

7.1.4 How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable.